

Brett L. Gibbs, Esq. (SBN 251000)  
Steele Hansmeier PLLC.  
38 Miller Avenue, #263  
Mill Valley, CA 94941  
415-325-5900  
[blgibbs@wefightpiracy.com](mailto:blgibbs@wefightpiracy.com)

*Attorney for Plaintiff*

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE  
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA  
SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION

HARD DRIVE PRODUCTIONS, INC. )

Plaintiff, )

v. )

DOES 1-188, )

Defendants. )

No. C-11-01566 JCS

**[PROPOSED] ORDER DENYING  
DOE DEFENDANTS' MOTION TO  
QUASH SUBPOENA**

**ORDER DENYING DOE DEFENDANT'S MOTION TO QUASH SUBPOENA**

The Court has reviewed Doe Defendant's Motion to Quash Order Granting Plaintiff's Application for Leave to Take Discovery Prior to Rule 26(f) Conference With Extended Joinder Discussion (hereinafter "Motion") (DKT#19), and Plaintiff's Opposition (DKT#24) to such. As outlined more fully Plaintiff's Opposition, Defendant's Motion has failed to meet its burden of showing that the subject subpoena should be quashed by this Court. As such, Defendant's Motion is **DENIED.**

In terms of a protective order, though not raised by Defendant, this Court has already ordered that any information disclosed to Plaintiff in response to a Rule 45 subpoena may be used by Plaintiff solely for the purpose of prosecuting this litigation. Further, Plaintiff shall file any

1 identifying information of this Doe Defendant under seal until this Court orders otherwise. This  
2 shall be sufficient protection for Defendant.

3 Third-party entities that have been, and will be, subpoenaed by Plaintiff must still carry on  
4 with gathering and supplying Plaintiff with the information requested through its Rule 45 subpoenas  
5 as fully laid out in this Court's Order Granting Plaintiff's *Ex Parte* Motion for Expedited Discovery  
6 (DKT#8).  
7

8 This order disposes of Docket No. 19.

9  
10 IT IS SO ORDERED.

11  
12 DATED: \_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_  
13 Joseph C. Spero  
14 United States Magistrate Judge  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28